



**SECRETARIAT OF THE
ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA
Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001**

Candidate Scrutiny Report

Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 05.07.2024

Name of the State: Andaman & Nicobar Islands

Election: General PC

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**



Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate:	BISHNU PADA RAY ROUND BASTI, ABERDEEN VILLAGE, PORT BLAIR, SOUTH ANDAMAN
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party-BJP
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	1-Andaman & Nicobar Islands
4.	Name of the elected candidate	BISHNU PADA RAY
5.	Date of declaration of result	2024-06-04
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	30-06-2024
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
		N/A
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
		N/A
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	04-07-2024

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account	02-07-2024
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes
		N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.7428949/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N/A
		N/A
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	N/A
		N/A
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N/A
		N/A
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened by for election	N/A
		N/A
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N/A
N/A		
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects notice by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention date of notice	N/A
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with the English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO Date: 5/7/2024	Yes N/A  Signature ARJUN SHARMA, IAS (Name of the DEO)
23.	<u>Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-</u> Agree with the DEO Date: 5/7/2024	 Signaturre of the Expenditure Observer

**Satyajit Mandal, IRS
Expenditure Observer, ANIPC
Observer Code-R-13252**

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.

If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Fund Given By Political Party						
By Cash	N/A					
By Cheque	6000000.00	26-04-2024	Bank of Baroda	29370100009247	BARB0PBLAIR	
In Kind	N/A					
Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.6000000.00/-					

Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources		Rs.0.00/-

Deo Comment's : N/A

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.6000000.00/-

Dated:05-07-2024



**SECRETARIAT OF THE
ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA
Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001**

Candidate Scrutiny Report

Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 05.07.2024

Name of the State: Andaman & Nicobar Islands

Election: General PC

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**



Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate:	DR ARUN KUMAR MALLIK A-35 D AND K CITY, MINNIE BAY, PORT BLAIR 744103
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party-BSP
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	1-Andaman & Nicobar Islands
4.	Name of the elected candidate	BISHNU PADA RAY
5.	Date of declaration of result	2024-06-04
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	30-06-2024
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
		N/A
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
		N/A
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	04-07-2024

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account	29-06-2024
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A
		N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.602055/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N/A
		N/A
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	N/A
		N/A
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N/A
		N/A
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened by for election	N/A
		N/A
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N/A
N/A		
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not		
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects notice by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention date of notice	N/A
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with the English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO Date: 5/7/2024	Yes N/A  Signature ARJUN SHARMA, IAS (Name of the DEO)
23.	<u>Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-</u> Agree with the DEO Date: 5/7/2024	 Signatutre of the Expenditure Observer

**Satyajit Mandal, IRS
Expenditure Observer, ANIPC
Observer Code-R-13252**

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.

If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Fund Given By Political Party	
By Cash	N/A
By Cheque	N/A
In Kind	N/A
Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.0.00/-

Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
Others	Cheque	12000.00
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources		Rs.12000.00/-

Deo Comment's : N/A

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.12000.00/-

Dated:05-07-2024



**SECRETARIAT OF THE
ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA
Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001**

**Candidate Scrutiny Report
Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 05.07.2024**

Name of the State: Andaman & Nicobar Islands

Election: General PC

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**


Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate:	D AYYAPPAN FLAT NO. 32, G BLOCK D AND K CITY, PORT BLAIR - 744103
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Communist Party of India (Marxist)-CPI(M)
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	1-Andaman & Nicobar Islands
4.	Name of the elected candidate	BISHNU PADA RAY
5.	Date of declaration of result	2024-06-04
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	30-06-2024
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
		N/A
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
		N/A
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	04-07-2024

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**


Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account	03-07-2024
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A
		N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.1186507/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N/A
		N/A
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	N/A
		N/A
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N/A
		N/A
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened by for election	N/A
		N/A
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N/A
N/A		
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects notice by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention date of notice	N/A
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with the English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO Date: 5/7/2024	Yes N/A  Signature ARJUN SHARMA, IAS (Name of the DEO)

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
23.	<p><u>Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-</u></p> <p>Agree with DEO</p> <p><u>Download</u></p> <p>Date: <u>5/7/2024</u></p>	<p align="right">  Signaturre of the Expenditure Observer Satyajit Mandal, IRS Expenditure Observer, ANIPC Observer Code-R-13252 </p>

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.

If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Fund Given By Political Party						
By Cash	N/A					
By Cheque	700000.00	02-04-2024	Canara Bank, Port Blair	110172499875	CANRB0019900	
In Kind	N/A					
Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.700000.00/-					

Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
Others	Cash	2000.00
Others	Cheque	361702.00
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources		Rs.363702.00/-

Deo Comment's : N/A

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.1063702.00/-

Dated:05-07-2024

Observer Code-R-13252
Expenditure Observer, ANPC
Satyajit Mandal, IRS

Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi- 110001

Election Commission of India

Schedule -9

Details of Lump sum amount received from any person/company/association/ body of persons, etc as loan, gift or donation, etc.

Sl. No.	Name and address	Date	Cash	DD/Cheque, etc. with details of drawee bank	Mention whether loan, gift or donation, etc.	Total amount (Rs.)	Remarks
1.	Smt Anitha Nath G, G 32, D & K City, Minnie Bay, Port Blair – 744 103	28.3.2024	Nil	UPI	Loan	25,000	Returned on 9.4.2024
2.	Shri P. Abdullah, Opp Telugu School, Haddo, Port Blair-744 102	10.4.2024		UPI	Donation	2,000	
3.	Shri Venkat Ramarao, Garacharma, Port Blair	10.4.2024		UPI	Donation	3,000	
4.	Shri Ramesan Nair, Anarkali, Port Blair- 744102	10.4.2024		UPI	Donation	5,000	
5.	Shri TS Sreekumar, Store Junction, Mannar, Alappuzha Dist, Kerala.	10.4.2024		UPI	Donation	10,000	
6.	Shri Rajendra Nath Prasad, East Kallada, Kollam District, Kerala.	10.4.2024		UPI	Donation	1,000	
7.	Shri M. Chozhi, Perinthalmanna, Malappuram District, Kerala	11.4.2024		UPI	Donation	1,000	
8.	Shri Mathew Kutty,	12.4.2024		UPI	Donation	5,000	

	Cheriyamad PO, Chengannur Taluk, Alappuzha District, Kerala						
9.	Shri R. Surendran Pillai, Deen Street, Port Blair – 744103.	12.4.2024		UPI	Donation	5,000	
10.	Shri P. Haridas, Kilimanoor, Kollam Dist., Kerala.			UPI 12.4.2024	Donation	3,000	
11.	Shri Farid Bux, Deen Street, Port Blair - 744103	13.4.2024		UPI	Donation	5,001	
12.	Shri HL Prasad, Vill & PO Rasulpur, Chapra District, Bihar Pin 841204	14.4.2024		UPI	Donation	5,000	
13.	Shri B. Ramesan, Karipra Village, Vakkanadu PO, Kollam District, Kerala.	14.4.2024		UPI	Donation	3,000	
14.	Choorapuz (name not known clearly)	15.4.2024		UPI	Donation	5,000	
15.	Sudha Sundaraman, C/o CPI (M) Office, Puducherry.	15.4.2024		UPI	Donation	5,000	
16.	Shri R. Muraleedharan Pillai, Retd Head Clerk, Rangat, Middle Andaman	16.4.2024		UPI	Donation	1,500	
17.	Shri Arun Nadakkal, Near Santhoshima Temple, Dollygunj, Port Blair	16.4.2024		UPI	Donation	1,000	
18.	Shri IP Narendran,	16.4.2024		UPI	Donation	5,000	

	Retd Vice Principal, Kannur, Kannur District, Kerala.						
19.	Shri KP Jayarajan, Buniyadabad, Port Blair – 744 102	17.4.2024		Cheque	Donation	5,000	
20.	Smt R. Kasturi Bai, Vijay, 6-A, RNRA, Thuirumullavaram PO, Kollam -2, Kerala.	17.4.2024		UPI	Donation	10,000	
21.	Shri P. Sathyapal, Near Garacharma Kali Mandir, Port Blair	19.4.2024		UPI	Donation	5,000	
22.	Anitha Nath.G, G 32, D & K City, Minnie Bay, Port Blair – 744 103	25.4.24		UPI on	Loan	50,000	Returned through cheque on 9 th May 2024
23.	Anitha Nath.G, G 32, D & K City, Minnie Bay, Port Blair – 744 103	25.4.24		UPI on	Loan	40,000	Returned through cheque on 9 th May 2024
24.	Shri NG Karmakar, Near Range Office, Bhathubasthi, Port Blair	22.4.2024	2,000	Cash deposit	Donation	2,000	Directly deposited in bank account
25.	Shri Ramesh, Flag Business, Chennai	26.4.2024		UPI	Donation	100	
26.	D. Laxman Rao, Near Power House, Bambooflat, South Andaman	27.4.24		UPI	Loan	10,000	Returned on 1.5.24 by cash
27.	Shri Mahesh Kumar, Mahesh Printers, Junglighat, Port Blair	2.5.2024		Cheque	Donation	5,001	
28.	Smt Anitha Nath G G 32, D & K City, Minnie Bay, Port Blair	5.5.2024		UPI	Loan	5,000	Loan Returned on 9.5.2024 by cheque

	- 744 103						
29.	Shri P. Banerjee, NABARD Colony, Goalghar, Port Blair – 74 103.	7.5.2024		Cheque	Donation	5,000	
	Smt Anitha Nath G G 32, D & K City, Minnie Bay, Port Blair – 744 103	12.5.24		UPI on	Loan	15,000	Returned by cash on 13.5.24
30.	Shri PK Khalid, Opp Shivapuram School, Betapur, Middle Andaman.	7.6.24		UPI on	Donation	5,100	
31.	Smt Anitha Nath G G 32, D & K City, Minnie Bay, Port Blair – 744 103	19.6.24		UPI on	Loan	25,000	Returned through cheque on 20.6.2024
32.	Smt Anitha Nath G G 32, D & K City, Minnie Bay, Port Blair – 744 103	19.6.24		UPI	Loan	50,000	Returned through cheque on 20.6.2024
33.	Shri KG Das, AIR Road, Delanipur, Port Blair – 744 102	24.6.24	1,000	Bank deposit (candidate account)	Donation	1,000	Directly deposited in bank account
34.	Smt Anitha Nath G G 32, D & K City, Minnie Bay, Port Blair – 744 103	27.6.24		UPI on	Loan	40,000	Returned through cheque on 27.6.24
	Total					3,63,702	



**SECRETARIAT OF THE
ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA
Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001**

**Candidate Scrutiny Report
Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 05.07.2024**

Name of the State: Andaman & Nicobar Islands

Election: General PC

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**



Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate:	MANOJ PAUL MADHUPUR-1, DIGLIPUR, NORTH ANDAMAN, A AND N ISLANDS, 744202
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Andaman Nicobar Democratic Congress-ANDC
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	1-Andaman & Nicobar Islands
4.	Name of the elected candidate	BISHNU PADA RAY
5.	Date of declaration of result	2024-06-04
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	30-06-2024
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
		N/A
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
		N/A
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	04-07-2024
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account	03-07-2024
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A
		N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.605096/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N/A
		N/A
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	N/A
		N/A
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N/A
		N/A
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened by for election	N/A
		N/A
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N/A
N/A		
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects notice by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention date of notice	N/A
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with the English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO Date: 5/7/2024	Yes N/A  Signature ARJUN SHARMA, IAS (Name of the DEO)
23.	<u>Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-</u> Agree with DEO Date: 5/7/2024	 Signaturre of the Expenditure Observer

Satyajit Mandal, IRS
Expenditure Observer, ANIPC
Observer Code-R-13252

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.

If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Fund Given By Political Party	
By Cash	N/A
By Cheque	N/A
In Kind	N/A
Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.0.00/-

Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
Others	Cash	25000.00
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources		Rs.25000.00/-

Deo Comment's : N/A

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.25000.00/-

Dated:05-07-2024



**SECRETARIAT OF THE
ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA
Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001**

**Candidate Scrutiny Report
Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 05.07.2024**

Name of the State: Andaman & Nicobar Islands

Election: General PC

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate:	K J B SELVARAJ Dolly Gunj Village, Port Blair Tehsil, South Andaman District-744103
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	All India Anna Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam-ADMK
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	1-Andaman & Nicobar Islands
4.	Name of the elected candidate	BISHNU PADA RAY
5.	Date of declaration of result	2024-06-04
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	30-06-2024
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
		N/A
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
		N/A
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	04-07-2024



**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account	29-06-2024
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A
		N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.924562/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N/A
		N/A
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	N/A
		N/A
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N/A
		N/A
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened by for election	N/A
		N/A
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N/A
N/A		
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects notice by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention date of notice	N/A
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with the English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO Date: 5/7/2024	Yes N/A  Signature ARTUN SHARMA, IAS (Name of the DEO)
23.	<u>Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-</u> Agree with DEO Date: 5/7/2024	 Signaturre of the Expenditure Observer

**Satyajit Mandal, IRS
Expenditure Observer, ANIPC
Observer Code-R-13252**

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.

If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Fund Given By Political Party	
By Cash	N/A
By Cheque	N/A
In Kind	N/A
Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.0.00/-


Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources		Rs.0.00/-

Deo Comment's : N/A

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.0.00/-

Dated:05-07-2024

Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi- 110001



**SECRETARIAT OF THE
ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA
Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001**

Candidate Scrutiny Report

Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 05.07.2024

Name of the State: Andaman & Nicobar Islands

Election: General PC

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**



Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate:	SALAMAT MONDAL Garacharma-II, Bathu Basti, Port Blair, South Andaman - 744105
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Socialist Unity Centre Of India (COMMUNIST)-SUCI
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	1-Andaman & Nicobar Islands
4.	Name of the elected candidate	BISHNU PADA RAY
5.	Date of declaration of result	2024-06-04
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	30-06-2024
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
		N/A
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
		N/A
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	04-07-2024
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	01-07-2024
	(i) original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N/A
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.292324/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N/A
		N/A
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	N/A
		N/A
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N/A
		N/A
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened by for election	N/A
		N/A
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N/A
N/A		
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects notice by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention date of notice	N/A
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with the English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO Date: 5/7/2024	Yes N/A  Signature ARJUN SHARMA, IAS (Name of the DEO)
23.	<u>Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-</u> Agree with DEO Date: 5/7/2024	 Signatutre of the Expenditure Observer

Satyajit Mandal, IRS
Expenditure Observer, ANIPC
Observer Code-R-13252

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.

If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.


Fund Given By Political Party	
By Cash	N/A
By Cheque	N/A
In Kind	N/A
Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.0.00/-

Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
Others	Cash	30000.00
Others	Cheque	9500.00
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources		Rs.39500.00/-

Deo Comment's : N/A

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.39500.00/-

Dated:05-07-2024



**SECRETARIAT OF THE
ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA
Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001**

Candidate Scrutiny Report

Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 05.07.2024

Name of the State: Andaman & Nicobar Islands

Election: General PC

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate:	K VENKAT RAM BABU Wimberlygunj Village, Ferrargunj Tehsil, South Andaman District, A&N Islands
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent-IND
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	1-Andaman & Nicobar Islands
4.	Name of the elected candidate	BISHNU PADA RAY
5.	Date of declaration of result	2024-06-04
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	30-06-2024
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
		N/A
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
		N/A
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	04-07-2024



**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account	03-07-2024
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A
		N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.67330/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N/A
		N/A
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	N/A
		N/A
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N/A
		N/A
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened by for election	N/A
		N/A
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N/A
N/A		
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects notice by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention date of notice	N/A
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with the English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO Date: 5/7/2024	Yes N/A  Signature ARTUN SHARMA, IAS (Name of the DEO)
23.	<u>Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-</u> Agree with DEO Date: 5/7/2024	 Signaturre of the Expenditure Observer Satyajit Mandal, IRS Expenditure Observer, ANIPC Observer Code-R-13252

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.

If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Fund Given By Political Party	
By Cash	N/A
By Cheque	N/A
In Kind	N/A
Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.0.00/-

Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources		Rs.0.00/-

Deo Comment's : N/A

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.0.00/-

Dated:05-07-2024

Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi- 110001



**SECRETARIAT OF THE
ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA
Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001**

Candidate Scrutiny Report

Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 05.07.2024

Name of the State: Andaman & Nicobar Islands

Election: General PC



**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate:	ANAND RAMNATH ARLEKAR C/o Marriamma Philips, Panipath Road, Haddo, Port Blair, A&N Islands.
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent-IND
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	1-Andaman & Nicobar Islands
4.	Name of the elected candidate	BISHNU PADA RAY
5.	Date of declaration of result	2024-06-04
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	30-06-2024
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
		N/A
7.	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	No
		SINCE THE CANDIDATE HAS LEFT THE STATION AFTER SUBMISSION OF FINAL ACCOUNT ON 11/06/2024.
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	04-07-2024
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account	11-06-2024
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A
		N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.76180/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N/A
		N/A
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	N/A
		N/A
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N/A
		N/A
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened by for election	N/A
		N/A
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N/A
N/A		
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects notice by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention date of notice	N/A
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with the English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO Date: 5/7/2024	Yes N/A  Signature ARJUN SHARMA, IAS (Name of the DEO)
23.	<u>Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-</u> AGREE WITH DEO Date: 5/7/2024	 Signatutre of the Expenditure Observer

Satyajit Mandal, IRS
Expenditure Observer, ANIPC
Observer Code-R-13252

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.

If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.


Fund Given By Political Party	
By Cash	N/A
By Cheque	N/A
In Kind	N/A
Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.0.00/-

Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
Others	Cash	63000.00
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources		Rs.63000.00/-

Deo Comment's : N/A

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.63000.00/-

Dated:05-07-2024



**SECRETARIAT OF THE
ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA
Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001**

Candidate Scrutiny Report
Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 05.07.2024

Name of the State: Andaman & Nicobar Islands

Election: General PC


**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate:	KULDEEP RAI SHARMA M.B.167, M.G. Road, Junglighat, Port Blair - 744103
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress-INC
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	1-Andaman & Nicobar Islands
4.	Name of the elected candidate	BISHNU PADA RAY
5.	Date of declaration of result	2024-06-04
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	30-06-2024
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
		N/A
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
		N/A
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	04-07-2024


DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account	29-06-2024
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A
		N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.6096712/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N/A
		N/A
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	N/A
		N/A
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N/A
		N/A
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened by for election	N/A
		N/A
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N/A
N/A		
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not		
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects notice by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention date of notice	N/A
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with the English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO Date: 5/7/2024	Yes N/A  Signature ARJUN SHARMA, IAS (Name of the DEO)

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
23.	<p><u>Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-</u></p> <p>Shri Kuldeep Rai Sharma, INC candidate received Rs.51,26,500/- from individuals/concern who have paid more than Rs.50000/- in each case but did not furnish PAN of such persons inspite of issuance of memorandum No.6-13/DEO/EEM/2024/1909 dated 3rd July, 2024. Hence the report is being submitted with names and address only and without the PAN of the donors.</p> <p><u>Download</u></p> <p>Date: <u>5/7/2024</u></p>	<p align="right">  Signatutre of the Expenditure Observer </p>

Satyajit Mandal, IRS
Expenditure Observer, ANIPC
Observer Code-R-13252

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.

If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Fund Given By Political Party	
By Cash	N/A
By Cheque	N/A
In Kind	N/A
Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.0.00/-

Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
Others	Cheque	5524500.00
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources		Rs.5524500.00/-

Deo Comment's : N/A

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.5524500.00/-

Dated:05-07-2024

Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi- 110001

Observer Code-R-13252
Expenditure Observer, ANRC
Satyajit Mandal, IRS

Election Commission of India

SI.No.	Date	Name & Address	Amount
1	27-Mar-24	Gyan Pr. Krishna, Dignabad Port Blair	500,000.00
2	28-Mar-2024	K Ponraj, Junglighat Port Blair	100,000.00
3	28-Mar-2024	Muruganathan, Junglighat Port Blair	100,000.00
4	28-Mar-2024	Crescent Construction, W/Gunj Port Blair	100,000.00
5	28-Mar-2024	M S JAS Construction, Dairyfarm Port Blair	200,000.00
6	30-Mar-2024	DEBASISH MONDAL, School Line Port Blair	96,000.00
7	30-Mar-2024	M/s Jayanti Green Shop, Dollygunj Port Blair	99,000.00
8	30-Mar-2024	Sujit Baroi, Dollygunj Port Blair	52,000.00
9	30-Mar-2024	Prashob Prasannakumar, wandoor Port Blair	50,000.00
10	30-Mar-2024	Mubarak Stores, Aberdeen Bazar Port Blair	100,000.00
11	30-Mar-2024	Dipak Kumar Biswas, Junglighat Port Blair	100,000.00
12	02-Apr-2024	DIGBIJOY OJHA, Babu line Port Blair	99,000.00
13	03-Apr-2024	Sri. Mahalakshmi Trading, Dollygunj Port Blair	100,000.00
14	03-Apr-2024	Sankalp Singh, School Line Port Blair	50,000.00
15	03-Apr-2024	Snigdha Saha, Deen Street Port Blair	50,000.00
16	04-Apr-2024	Digvijay, Junglighat Port Blair	50,000.00
17	04-Apr-2024	Nick, Port Blair, Minnie bay Port Blair	49,000.00
18	04-Apr-2024	Kulaindai, Autinabad Port Blair	49,000.00
19	6-Apr-24	Sadhna Lall, Junglighat, Port Blair	100,000.00
20	8-Apr-24	Tamil Selvam Chicken Centre , Dollygunj, P/b	100,000.00
21	10-Apr-24	M Vijay, Haddo Port Blair	200,000.00
22	12-Apr-24	SMS Bar, Dairy Farm, Port Blair	500,000.00
23	16-Apr-24	K Muthu & Co, Bathubasthi Port Blair	100,000.00
24	16-Apr-24	J K Textiles, Bathubasthi Port Blair	200,000.00
25	16-Apr-24	Sakthivel M, Bathubasthi, Port Blair	100,000.00
26	16-Apr-24	Rajalakshmi S, Bathubasthi Port Blair	100,000.00
27	24-Apr-24	S Bhaskar, J/Ghat, Port Blair	100,000.00
28	25-Apr-24	Tabasum Ansari, Dolly Gunj, Port Blair	10,000.00
29	25-Apr-24	Tabasum Ansari, Dolly Gunj, Port Blair	40,000.00
30	26-Apr-24	Subramaniam, Mecca Pahad, Port Blair	100,000.00
31	26-Apr-24	Tabasum Ansari, Dolly Gunj, Port Blair	50,000.00
32	26-Apr-24	Manoj Mondal, Guptapara, Port Blair	100,000.00
33	26-Apr-24	Manoranjan Saha, Junglighat Port Blair	1,00,000.00
34	29-Apr-24	Ubaid Ullah, Dollygunj Port Blair	100,000.00

35	13.06.2024	R Renuka, Haddo, Port Blair	500,000.00
36	13.06.2024	Vikram, Haddo, Port Blair	100,000.00
37	13.06.2024	Vijay, Haddo, Port Blair	100,000.00
38	13.06.2024	Ashish, Prem Nagar, Port Blair	100,000.00
39	13.06.2024	Alsu, Anarkali, Port Blair	100,000.00
40	13.06.2024	Pramod, Prothrapur, Port Blair	100,000.00
41	13.06.2024	Komal Kumar, J/Ghat, Port Blair	100,000.00
42	13.06.2024	Raj Kumar, Minnie Bay, Port Blair	100,000.00
43	15.06.2024	Rajesh, Goal Ghar, Port Blair	80,500.00
44	15.06.2024	Christopher, Haddo, Port Blair	100,000.00
45	15.06.2024	Abdul Nasir, Ograbraj, Port Blair	100,000.00
46	15.06.2024	Inbaraj, Buniyadabad, Port Blair	100,000.00
		Grand Total	55,24,500.00



**SECRETARIAT OF THE
ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA**
Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001

Candidate Scrutiny Report

Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 05.07.2024

Name of the State: Andaman & Nicobar Islands

Election: General PC

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**



Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate:	RINKU MALA MONDAL Lokenath Colony, Dollygunj, Port Blair, South Andaman
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent-IND
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	1-Andaman & Nicobar Islands
4.	Name of the elected candidate	BISHNU PADA RAY
5.	Date of declaration of result	2024-06-04
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	30-06-2024
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
		N/A
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
		N/A
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	04-07-2024

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account	02-07-2024
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A
		N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.68109/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N/A
		N/A
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	N/A
		N/A
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N/A
		N/A
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened by for election	N/A
		N/A
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N/A
N/A		
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects notice by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention date of notice	N/A
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with the English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO Date: 5/7/2024	Yes N/A  Signature ARJUN SHARMA, IAS (Name of the DEO)
23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*:- Agree with DEO Date: 5/7/2024	 Signatutre of the Expenditure Observer Satyajit Mandal, IRS Expenditure Observer, ANIPC Observer Code-R-13252

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.

If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.


Fund Given By Political Party	
By Cash	N/A
By Cheque	N/A
In Kind	N/A
Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.0.00/-

Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
Others	Cash	10560.00
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources		Rs.10560.00/-

Deo Comment's : N/A

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.10560.00/-

Dated:05-07-2024



**SECRETARIAT OF THE
ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA
Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001**

Candidate Scrutiny Report

Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 05.07.2024

Name of the State: Andaman & Nicobar Islands

Election: General PC

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate:	USHA KUMARI Dollygunj Village, Port Blair Tehsil, South Andaman District
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent-IND
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	1-Andaman & Nicobar Islands
4.	Name of the elected candidate	BISHNU PADA RAY
5.	Date of declaration of result	2024-06-04
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	30-06-2024
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
		N/A
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
		N/A
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	04-07-2024



**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account	02-07-2024
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes
		N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.332175/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N/A
		N/A
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	N/A
		N/A
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N/A
		N/A
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened by for election	N/A
		N/A
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N/A
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects notice by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention date of notice	N/A
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with the English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO Date: 5/7/2024	Yes N/A  Signature ARJUN SHARM, IAS (Name of the DEO) Returning Officer A&N Island Parliamentary Constituency
23.	<u>Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-</u> Agree with DEO Date: 5/7/2024	 Signatutre of the Expenditure Observer

Satyajit Mandal, IRS
Expenditure Observer, ANIPC
Observer Code-R-13252

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.

If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Fund Given By Political Party	
By Cash	N/A
By Cheque	N/A
In Kind	N/A
Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.0.00/-

Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
Others	Cash	25000.00
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources		Rs.25000.00/-

Deo Comment's : N/A

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.25000.00/-

Dated:05-07-2024